3/3/13 - Comments on No-quorum meeting in February.

What do we do with this bunch which exists to obstruct the will of the SEC member owners and enrich themselves. It was very obvious that the only people in the SEC Board meeting room last Tuesday that were not in on the plan were Trustees Wagner and Dorough, eight SEC members and the editor of the "Defensor Chieftain." The lawyer was absent, the table was empty of the usual "add piles," knowing looks and smug expressions were unmistakable. President Aguilar jumped at Trustee Wagner's suggestion that they wait ten minutes and then call the meeting. Checks of the missing four trustees' homes turned up vehicles in driveways and curtains twitching. The quorum was in hiding.

We know what happened. The question is why. A look at the Agenda provides some answers.

X. CONSIDERATION TO RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION ON SETTING DATE AND TIME FOR 2013 ANNUAL MEMBERS MEETING.

Why this item and who placed it on the Agenda when the Minutes of the Annual Meeting Committee Meeting on 2/7/2013 make no mention of date and/or time change? The committee did vote to do away with Election Judges which could or could present a problem. SEC co-op staff should not be in charge of this election.

The minutes also do not mention the persistent rumors that lawyer, management and board majority are planning to keep the amendments passed by the District V members off of the ballot leaving only the defeated Trustee amendments for a revote. This would be an illegal act in violation of SEC Bylaws and state law but this trio has violated both in the past and dared the members to sue for justice. Why not! The lawyers make a bundle of members' money, management and the majority also have members' money to shield them from the results of their illegal behavior. " Art. III, Section 12. Action by Members at District Meetings. Any proposition submitted at a District Meeting and adopted by resolution by a majority of the members voting, together with any document submitted with the resolution, must be reported to and submitted for consideration at the next succeeding annual meeting or special meeting members, if the resolution so provides."

Questions about this action were to be raised at the Feb. SEC Board meeting. The trustees would have to take responsibility for their vote if they attended the meeting. Ask your individual trustee if they are in favor of throwing out the actions of the members of District V.

XII. CONSIDERATION TO RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION TO RE-INTRODUCE BOARD APPROVED RESOLUTIONS FOR 2013 ANNUAL MEMBERS MEETING.

Trustee Dorough of District asked that this action be placed on the agenda as the members have already rejected these resolutions by a large number. A vote on this agenda item would have shone a very bright light on the trustees.

There were several other hot button items to be addressed including the Minutes of three By-Law Committee Meetings that "carefully examined resolutions passed at the District V meeting. Indeed, Chairman Wolberg stated that the purpose of all three meetings was "to examine action by members at the District V Meeting October, 2012." Members of this committee are Chairman Wolberg, Trustees Cordova and Mauldin. Add the presence of Trustee Aguilar and there were four trustees, a quorum of the SEC Board, at the three meetings. This is illegal according to the Open Meetings Act and notice was given on this matter by Judge Albert Mitchell in a letter dated February 28, 2011.

Illegal and improper actions by board, management and legal advisors over the years have caused a very high cost to SEC members. Remember being sued by our own Board of Trustees? We are going to have to take action very soon in the matter of what goes on that ballot for the Annual Meeting.

There is a telephonic conference with Judge Mitchell on March 14th and we must hope that the Board's continuing wrong actions will engage his attention.

See Chieftain article: <u>http://www.dchieftain.com/2013/03/02/co-op-meeting-doesn39t-happen</u>